AUG 1 7 2004 8

Mail Stop RCE

Application Number: 09/349,105	U
Filing Date: July 8, 1999	
First Named Inventor: Christine RONDEAU	
Group Art Unit: 1751	
Examiner: M. Einsmann	
Attorney Docket Number: 05725.0441-00000	
Attorney Customer Number: 22,852	

••••							
REQUEST FOR TRANSMITTAL			TREC		Filing Date: July 8, 1999		
					First Named Inventor: Christine RONDEAU		
			1137	ANSIMITTAL	Group Art Unit: 1751		
Subsection (b) of 35 U.S.C. § 132, effective on May 29, 2000 provides for continued examination of a utility or plant application			continued exa	amination of a utility or plant application	Examiner: M. Einsmann		
filed on or after June 8, 1995. See The American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA)					Attorney Docket Number: 05725.0441-00000		
					Attorney Customer Number: 22,852		
This	is a	Reque	est for Continu	ued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.1	14 of the above-identified application.		
Note	Note: 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 is effective on May 29, 2000. If the above-identified application was filed prior to May 29, 2000, applicant may wish to consider filing a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(d) instead of a RCE to be eligible for patent term adjustment provisions of the AIPA. See "Changes to Application Examination and Provisional Application Practice," Interim Rule, 65 Fed. Reg. 14865 (March 20, 2000). Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 47 (April 11, 2000), which established RCE practice.						
1.	Sul	bmiss	sion require	d under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114:			
	a.		Previously	submitted			
		i.		Consider the amendment(s)/reply af	ter final under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 previously filed on		
		ii.		Consider the arguments in the Appe	al Brief of Reply Brief previously filed on		
		iii.		Other			
	b.	$\boxtimes$	Enclosed:		08/13/2004 SSITHIB1 00000041 09349105		
		i.		Amendment/Reply	08/13/2004 002 770.00 OP 01 FC:1801		
		ii.	$\boxtimes$	Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s): Declar	•		
		iii.	$\boxtimes$	Information Disclosure Statement	with eleven (11) references		
		iv.	$\boxtimes$	Other Petition for Extension of Tir	<u>ne</u> .		
2.	Mis	cella	ineous				
	a. Suspension of action on the above-mentioned application is requested under 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(c) for a period of <a href="mailto:[number]">[number]</a> months. (Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months; fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i) required.)						
	b.		Other		<del></del>		
3.	Fee						
<b>J</b> .	a.	, <u>,</u>	The filing f	ee is calculated as follows:			
	u.	i.		\$770.00 RCE fee required under 3	37 C F R & 1 17(e)		
		ii.	⊠	Petition for Extension of Time for	• • • •		
		iii.		Other	(		
	b.		_	he amount of \$2,780.00 enclosed.			
	C.	⊠	The Comn	<del></del>	deficiencies in the filing fees, or credit any overpayments to Deposit		
Signature of Applicant, Attorney, or Agent Required							
Nai	Name: Charles D. Niebylski Reg. No.: 46,116						
Sig	natu	re:	N		Date: August 12, 2004		
Certificate of Mailing or Transmission							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, BOX RCE, Washington, D.C. 20231, or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on: [Date]							
Nam	ne: [Te	ext]					
Signature:					Date:		



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	)
Christine RONDEAU	)
Application No.: 09/349,105	) Group Art Unit: 1751
Filed: July 8, 1999	) Office: M. Einsmann
For: COMPOSITION FOR DYEING KERATIN FIBERS WITH A CATIONIC DIRECT DYE AND A THICKENING POLYMER	) ) )

Mail Stop - RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## **AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114**

Further to the Notice of Appeal filed January 13, 2004, and in response to the Office Action dated July 14, 2003, Applicant respectfully requests continued examination of this application in view of the amendments and remarks set forth herein as well as the accompanying Declaration under 37 C.F.R. §1.132. The Office Action dated July 14, 2003, rejected the claims for at least a second time as being obvious over *Rondeau* under 35 U.S.C.§ 103(a). Therefore, the Notice of Appeal filed January 13, 2004, was a valid response to that Office Action. The present paper satisfies the requirement for a "Submission" to accompany the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 filed herewith. The RCE and this submission are timely filed in view of the Petition for Extension of Time and fee filed concurrently herewith.

Application No.: 09/349,105 Attorney Docket No.: 05725.0441

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims beginning on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 112 of this paper.